# A NEW CLOUD PROPERTIES RETRIEVAL METHODOLOGY FOR IR MEASUREMENTS: An application to MPACE 2004 field experiment

Tiziano Maestri and Robert Holz Space Science and Engineering Center, Madison (USA)

# **OVERVIEW:**



#### Goal:

Use the best information available to derive a <u>validation product</u> for selected geographical sites Satellite applications (?)

**ORIGIN:** Modification of a LbL-MS code based on doubling and adding algorithm (Evans and Stephens; Rizzi and Miskolczi)

#### **A-PRIORI INFORMATION:**

- 1. Cloud geometrical boundaries ( $\rightarrow$  lidar/CO<sub>2</sub> slicing/...)
- 2. T and wv profiles (→ ECMWF re-analysis grib files/radiosondes )

#### **ASSUMPTION**:

- 1. Cloud OD is homogeneous in the layer (investigate!)
- 2. Plane parallel geometry and multiples layer cloud

#### **NEW FEATURES:**

- 1. Optical depths space
- 2. Simplified phase function but multiple scattering processes accounted for
- 3. Applicable to multiple hyper-spectral sensors (ground-airborne-satellite)



Scattering is a second term correction in the infrared window both from above and either below cloud measurements. Nevertheless it cannot be neglected



Knowledge of the <u>T and wv profile</u> is extremely important especially when up-looking.

The spectral shape of the radiance in the 800-1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> window is mainly driven by the <u>absorption</u> processes independently of the size of the ice crystals

The slope of the radiance (esp. from above) in the 800-1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> window band is not only habit dependent, but also OD and surface emissivity dependent (boundary conditions are very important)



In the 800-1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> window band the scattering properties of the PSDs are weaker dependent on the kind of habit (or mixtures of habits) constituting the PSD with respect to the 1050-1250 cm<sup>-1</sup> band that contains more habit's information

Moreover a simplified phase function appears to represent a good approximation in that band.

Heeney-Greenstein phase function correction.



For every wave-number RT-RET finds the "fake" OD that makes the forward model computation match the data. The first guess scattering properties are obtained using spectral:  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  and  $\boldsymbol{g}$ . The precision is user dependent and usually set to a threshold less than 0.5% in the radiance values.

Wave-numbers are analyzed **sequentially**.

To speed up computations the last OD value is used as input for the next wave-number analyzed

**Convergence** to the real value is obtained by changing the OD as follows:

 $\Delta OD = \frac{\Delta R}{(F_s - F_c) \cdot t_c}$  From the assumption that the up-ward radiance is:  $R \approx t_c \cdot (\varepsilon_s F_s) + (1 - t_c) \cdot F_c$ and that the only variables are  $t_c(OD)$  and R

 $\Delta OD = \frac{\Delta R}{(-F_c) \cdot t_c}$  From the assumption that the down-ward radiance is:  $R \approx (1 - t_c) \cdot F_c$  and that the only variables are  $t_c(OD)$  and R

Where:

 $t_c$  = cloud trasmissivity

R = measured radiance

 $F_c = cloud radiance$ 

 $F_{\rm s}$  = surface radiance

 $\varepsilon_{s}$  = surface emissivity (assumed 1)

### MPACE: October 17th 2004



#### **AHSRL** The Arctic High Spectral Resolution Lidar

Multi-channel lidar capable of independent measurements of the <u>cloud</u> <u>depolarization, extinction, and backscatter cross-section.</u> Two signals can be processed to yield separate lidar returns from aerosol and molecular scattering. Separation is possible because the wavelength spectrum of the molecular lidar return is Doppler broadened by molecular thermal motion. The separation of molecular and aerosol returns permits the HSRL to measure the extinction and aerosol backscatter cross-sections independently.

The AHSRL specifications	Laser Wavelength: Length of laser pulse: Pulse repetition rate: Laser power: Receiver FOV: Altitude Resolution:	532 nm 40 ns 4 kHz ~0.4 W 45 mrad 7 5 m
	Altitude Resolution:	45 mrad 7.5 m
	Maximum Recorded Alt:	30 km



Thanks to Ed Eloranta (SSEC)

# **IR Sensors**

AIRS: Atmospheric Infrared Sounder. Satellite (AQUA)

S-HIS: Scanning High-resolution Interferometer Sounder. Airborne (on Proteus)

AERI: Atmospheric Emitted Radiance Interferometer (in rapid scan). Ground-base (Barrow)

### **AIRS data: Modis collocation**



### **AHSRL data**

#### AIRS overpass S-HIS time coincident passes



![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Simulation and retrieval: OD vertical non homogeneity

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

### The real state: investigate the cloud "homogeneity"

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Retrieval of the effective dimension**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Lidar weighting functions**

#### from layer to sensor transmittances

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **AHSRL/Radar effective radius retrieval**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Retrieval of the effective dimension**

#### **AIRS**

Bullett Rosettes: Aggregates:

ttes: OD(900 cm<sup>-1</sup>)=1.3; OD(.532 um)=1.46;  $R_{eff}$ =20 microns  $\rightarrow$  IWC=0.013 g/m<sup>3</sup> OD(900 cm<sup>-1</sup>)=1.3; OD(.532 um)=1.36;  $R_{eff}$ =27 microns  $\rightarrow$  IWC=0.0097 g/m<sup>3</sup>

[Mixture: OD=1.44, R<sub>eff</sub>=33.5 microns *Xuebau Wu, Jun Li et al.* retrieval (droxtals, hexagonal and aggregates)]

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Considerations**:

- <u>IR optical properties</u> effects on radiance are mostly defined by the particles' cross sectional area
- The <u>effective dimension</u> is volume/area dependent
- $\bullet$  'Bulky' particles have larger  $\rm D_{\rm eff}$  for the same PSD

• <u>Comparison</u> among different instruments must account for the habits and the PSDs assumptions

AHSRL retrieves

$$D'_{eff} = 4 \sqrt{\frac{9\langle V^2 \rangle}{\pi \langle A \rangle}}$$

without any assumption on habit and PSD→ Future comparison

# The Algorithm sensitivity: Uncertainties on first guess assumption

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

### The Algorithm sensitivity: Uncertainties on surface properties and cloud geometry

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$R \approx t_c \cdot (\varepsilon_s F_s) + (1 - t_c) \cdot F_c$$

Interpretation requires accounting for cloud emission and attenuation Ex.blu-cyan: cyan emits at lower  $T \rightarrow$  has to attenuate less to get the same R

# Conclusions

A new <u>retrieval code</u> working in the OD space and accounting for MS processes. RT-RET works with ground-airborne-satellite sensors

Limitations in cloud properties retrievals using IR only

# Future

Improve in the <u>speed/database/algorithm</u>.

Validation case studies for certain geographical sites.

IR-Lidar/Radar consistent comparisons on particles retrieved <u>dimensions</u>: *D'<sub>eff</sub>* 

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Effective Diameter Prime**

is the fundamental quantity derived from the combination of lidar and radar backscatter cross sections, where and refer to the average volume-squared and average area of the cloud particles as defined by Donovan and Lammeren JGR, v106, D21, pp 27425. The only user supplied quantity required for this computation is the <u>backscatter phase function</u> for ice crystals.

Could be computed from hsrl measurements for each point within the cloud, however the measurement is sensitive altitude averaging lengths and averaging times. Short averages are likely to have excessive noise. Long averages are likely to mix regions of low and high scattering. As a result, we have decided to allow the user to specify this value as a cloud average. It is recommended that an independent analysis of the data be used to determine an appropriate value for p180\_ice. Errors in effective\_diameter\_prime are proportional to the 4th-root of the error in .f

### **S-HIS effective dimension retrieval**

#### Reff=14 microns

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Texas 2002**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# PARAMETERS DEFINING THE GAMMA

Gamma Type SD have been fitted to measured PSDs using:

1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> moments of the PSDs

Correlation coefficients  $r^2 > 0.8$ 

$$N(D) = N_0 D^{\mu} e^{-\lambda D}$$

D = dimension (cm)

 $N_0 = intercept$ 

 $\lambda = slope$ 

 $\mu$  = dispersion (when =0 --> exp.)

Additional: IWC, DMM, T

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

TRMM deep convection --> over exponential

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Up-looking Retrieval and AHSRL comparison**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)